



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/670,157 | 09/26/2000 | Rajesh Sundaram | 205514 | 4843 |

23460 7590 10/21/2004

LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900
180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE
CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

STRANGE, AARON N

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2153

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/670,157 | SUNDARAM ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Aaron Strange | 2153 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Aaron Strange. (3) David S. Lee.
 (2) Frantz Jean. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 October 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 12-15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See attachment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Art Unit: 2153

1. Proposed amendments to claims 12-15 were discussed, based upon the proposed amendment submitted by Mr. Lee via email on 9/30/2004.
2. Mr. Lee was informed that the proposed claims appeared to be in good condition for allowance, but an updated search would be required prior to a final determination of allowability. It was agreed that the updated search would be based upon the proposed amendment.
3. Mr. Lee agreed to formally submit the proposed claims in an after-final amendment, in order to expedite prosecution.